

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,146	09/18/2003	David L. Patton	84003AF-P	8215	
7590 04/28/2004			EXAMINER		
Milton S. Sales			SPECTOR, DAVID N		
Patent Legal Sta		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street			2873		
Rochester, NY	14650-2201	DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					In		
		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/666,	146	PATTON ET AL.			
		Examine	er	Art Unit	,		
		David N.	Spector	2873			
The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication	ication appears on th	ne cover sheet with th	ie correspondence ad	dress		
THE MAII - Extensions after SIX (6 - if the perio - if NO perio - Failure to r Any reply r	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI of time may be available under the provisions of MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm d for reply specified above is less than thirty (3d d for reply is specified above, the maximum ste eply within the set or extended period for reply eceived by the Office later than three months a ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply b atutory minimum of thirty (30) will expire SIX (6) MONTHS (plication to become ABAND	e timely filed days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this co ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ Res	sponsive to communication(s) file	ed on 18 September	2003 and 22 Octobe	er 2003.			
· -	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
•							
clos	sed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex parte</i> Q	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition (of Claims						
4)⊠ Cla	im(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the a	application.					
4a)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□ Cla	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Cla	Claim(s) <u>1,2,6 and 13</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Cla	Claim(s) <u>3-5 and 7-12</u> is/are objected to.						
8)⊟ Cla	im(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election	requirement.				
Application l	Papers						
9)∐ The	specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10)⊠ The	drawing(s) filed on 18 September	<u>er 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠	accepted or b)□ ob	jected to by the Exan	niner.		
Арр	licant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Rep	lacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is requ	ired if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).		
11)□ The	oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	Note the attached Off	fice Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119				,		
a)	Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internatio	documents have be documents have be of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applionents have been reco ule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National	Stage		
* See 1	the attached detailed Office actio	n tor a list of the cer	tified copies not rece	eved.			
Attachment(s)							
	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of I	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		Paper No(s)/Ma	il Date			
	n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or s)/Mail Date <u>#1 (09/18/03)</u> .	PTO/SB/08)	5)	nal Patent Application (PTC <u>ED ACTION</u> .)-152)		

ART UNIT: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsuura (US-6,246,530-B1). Matsuura discloses a method of making a solid immersion lens device (FIG. 9, ref. 10') having a plurality of solid immersion lenses 20s, comprising the steps of: providing said plurality of solid immersion lenses 20s in a predetermined pattern (col. 8, ln. 30-32) and securing said solid immersion lenses 20s in said predetermined pattern (e.g. via supporting member S) so as to cause them to be in a fixed position with respect to each other (col. 8, ln. 33-36). Claim 1 is therefore anticipated by Matsuura.

Claim Rejections - Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969). A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent

is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b). Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 2, 6, and 13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 12 and 28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,683,723 B2 (hereinafter '723). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other for the following reasons.

In regard to claim 2 Claim 12 of the '723 patent recites (*inter alia*) "A solid immersion lens device (e.g. array) comprising: a plurality of solid immersion lenses, and a body portion in which said plurality of solid lenses are integrally secured, said body portion having a surface designed to engage a sample for viewing of said sample through said plurality of solid immersion lenses". While the aforesaid claim 12 does not expressly recite "a top surface" (e.g. 'said body portion having a top [emphasis added] surface designed to engage a sample for viewing'), it is clear from the context of the '723 claim that the 'surface' recited therein is the same as the 'top surface' recited in claim 2 of the instant application. Claim 2 of the instant application is therefore unpatentable over Claim 12 of the '723 patent.

In regard to claim 6 Claim 28 of the '723 patent recites (*inter alia*) " a cover slide having a plurality of solid immersion lenses integrally formed therein, said cover slide having a surface desired to engage a sample for viewing of said sample through said plurality of solid immersion lenses. Claim 6 of the instant application is therefore unpatentable over Claim 28 of the '723 patent.

In regard to claim 13 Claim 28 of the '723 patent recites (*inter alia*) "a cover slide having a plurality of solid immersion lenses integrally formed therein, said cover slide having a surface desired to engage a sample for viewing of said sample through said plurality of solid immersion lenses and an open viewing area designed to engage a sample

ART UNIT: 2873

for viewing of said sample using a microscope under normal magnification". Claim 13 of the instant application is therefore unpatentable over Claim 28 of the '723 patent.

Other Remarks/Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David N. Spector whose telephone number is (571) 272-2338. The examiner can normally be reached at this number Monday through Friday between 6:00 AM and 2:30 PM. In the event that attempts to contact the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached at (571) 272-2328. The fax number for the organization where this application is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

April 26, 2004

David N. Spector PRIMARY EXAMINER